Table 20. Least square means for percentage wilted and defoliated plants in a Verticillium infested soil for entries in the 2016 RBTN trial conducted at Halfway, TX. (Cooperator:Jane Dever)

|  | 24-Aug <br> Wilt ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Sep } \\ & \text { Wilt }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Defoliation ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivar | \% | \% | \% |
| Ark 0812-87ne | 1.7 | 1.9 | 6.2 |
| SG 105 CK | 1.7 | 4.4 | 17.9 |
| NM 13G2019 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| DP 493 CK | 2.5 | 1.9 | 5.0 |
| MS 0043-28-1 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 26.6 |
| Ark 0822-48 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 11.7 |
| PD09046 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 16.6 |
| TAM11L-24 | 4.8 | 9.3 | 19.6 |
| AU82074 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 22.0 |
| GA 2012141 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 22.5 |
| TAM13Q-18 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 16.7 |
| GA 2011113 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 26.6 |
| MD 16-1 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 39.5 |
| UA 222 CK | 5.8 | 5.5 | 15.0 |
| DP 393 CK | 6.3 | 5.1 | 39.1 |
| MD 16-2 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 37.4 |
| Ark 0819-89 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 22.9 |
| Ark 0824-89 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 27.0 |
| NM 13G1029 | 10.5 | 7.9 | 12.5 |
| PD07040 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 32.0 |
| PD09084 | 11.1 | 14.7 | 33.7 |
| Ark 0818-23 | 11.4 | 7.3 | 14.2 |
| GA 2012082 | 13.6 | 9.9 | 17.9 |
| FM 958 CK | 14.5 | 11.7 | 26.2 |
| PD08028 | 15.2 | 18.9 | 43.7 |
| AU77082 | 18.1 | 12.0 | 32.4 |
| GA 2012050 | 21.5 | 24.4 | 30.8 |
| MS 0152-3-11 | 21.7 | 20.2 | 33.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 8.5 | 9.0 | 23.3 |
| MSD (.05) | 12.8 | 16.2 | 33.8 |

Values in bold are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) using WallerDuncan k-ratio t-test. MSD=Minimum Significant Difference ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) between any two means within a column using Waller-Duncan k-ratio ttest.
${ }^{1}$ Percentage Verticillium wilted plants $=$ (number of wilted plants/total number of plants) $\times 100$ witihin a 27 ft plot. Test planted May 24, number of wilted plants recorded August 24 and September 1.
${ }^{2}$ Each plot was rated on a scale of 0 to 3 at 10 different sites within a plot, where $0=$ no defoliation, $1=1-33 \%$ defoliation, $2=34-66 \%$ defoliation, and $3=67-100 \%$ defoliation. Ratings were then converted into $\%$ defoliation by taking the midpoint of a rating such that rating $0=$ $0,1=16.5,2=49.5$, and $3=83.5$. Converted values from each plot were averaged to obtain the $\%$ defoliation in a plot.

